QUESTION AND ANSWER.

WYCHE LODGE NO. 3638. 1974

- 1. Q. Should the J.W. be responsible for proving visitors.
 - A. The Responsibility for the admission of visitors is primarily with the J.W., who is so directed at his investiture. But ultimate responsibility rests with the W.M., who undertakes, at his Installation, that no Visitor shall be received 'without due examination, and producing proper Vouchers of his having been initiated in a regular Lodge'. If the J.W. is on duty in the Lodge, the W.M. may delegate the D.C., or one or more P.Ms. to act as examiners, and it is they who become, him a sense, the 'proper Vouchers', when they are satisfied.

 The examination should cover adequately all the Craft Degree that the visitor claims to hold. If the result is not wholly satisfactory, admission should be refused.

Methods: Ask for the signs, Tokens and Words of the three Degrees. The visitor may be hesitant, or not wholly correct in his aniver. He may even be a non-Mason who has obtained his information from some irregular curve. The examination should be extended to include one or two procedural questions relating to specific details in the ceremonies. But there is a useful additional check.

2. Ask the name and number of the visitors lodge, with the place and dates of Meetings. (All these can be checked instantly in the G.L. Year Book, which the Secretary should have.) This is one reason why all brethren should be able to vouch for their visitors, and for the chall invitations should be conducted via. the Lodge Some ary.

- 2. Q. Should the J.D. bow af 'en displaying the T.B's.
 - A. After due search, I have been walle to find any specific instruction on this in any of the rawals. In my own opinion, I feel that this is quite unecessary, the board should be turned and the Deacon then turns and proceeds back to his chair. The procedural left of the normal custom of the Lodge.
- 3. Q. Is it correct for the I.G. to step outside the Lodge when a meeting or ceremony in it progress.
 - of the Lodge when he admits a candidate', he should also be in charge of the opening and closing of the door. In this Lodge the I.G. answers the door according to the Knocks, though in other Lodges it is their custom to look though the Peep Hole first.

- 4. Q. Is it necessary for the S. or J.Ws. chair to be occupied by a P.M. when they have vacated the chair to undertake another duty which is part of the ceremony.
 - A. It is not at all important; If your particular working of the ritual (or perhaps simple Lodge custom) requires that someone occupies the Chair while one or both Wardens do some part of their Masonic Duties on the floor of the Lodge, I would not quarrel with that; I can only assume you that it is quite unnecessary for the Chair to be occupied by any kind of deputy on such occasions. One would never dream of filling the S.W. and J.W. Chairs when those two officers when their places to come onto the floor of the Lodge during the Closing in the Third Degree for example.

When either of the Wardens has to leave the Temple, for any leave at all, then it is proper for the W.M. to ask someone to fill the Chair pro temple.

- 5. Q. Could you inform us as to what the medal or jewel is on the W.Ms. Collar.
 - A. The jewel that you refer to is the Commemorative Jove of the M.W. Grand Master's 250th Anniversary Fund, and it signifies that this Louge donated to that fund the sum of £1 per member of the Louge at that date. The sum total collected was over half a million pounds.

The design of the jewel embodies the contraintheme of the Arms first granted to the 'Hole Crafte & Felawship of Marins' in 1472, a grant which marked the highest form of official recognition of the Chift, as one of the City Companies. A field of Sable, a Chevron of Silver, graines,

Three Castles of the same, raished with approx and windows of the field.

In the Chevron, a Compast of Black.

This description comes from the text of the Grant, which gives us the earliest description of the design in the and Silver, and, since 1472, the arms reappear regularly with occasional minor modification - in all sorts of Masonic documents.

The original grant contained no motto, and the earliest record of a motto attached to the ems appears of the tomb of William Kerwin, dated 1594, in St. Helens Church Bishop gas. It reads:

"God Is Our Guide"

The Company indeed has no authorised motto, but since the early 17th century, it appears to have used the words:

"In The Lord Is All Our Trust"

There is evidence that the premier Grand Lodge, founded in 1717, began using the Arms within a few years after its foundation, even though early minute books are silent on this subject. They were certainly using the Arms in their seal before 1813, and soon after the Union of the rival Grand Lodges was accomplished in that year, the Arms of the United Grand Lodge were designed so that half the shield contained the same 'Three Castles, Chevron and Compass' while the arms of 'Antients - 'A Man, A lion, An Ox, and an Eagle', completed the other half.

Thus, the Grand Master's 250th Anniversary Jewel actually spans the history of our ancient Craft over a period of nearly 500 years, since 1472. But the roots of the Fellowship of Masons in England go back mush further than that, to the year 1356, when 12 skilled master masons came before the Mayor and Aldermen at Guildhall, in London, to settle a demarcation dispute, and to darw up a code of trade regulations, because their trade had not, until then, 'been regulated in due manner, by the government of folks of their trade, in such form as other trades' were.

This was the true beginning of mason trade organisation in England, which are rise to the 'Hole Crafte and Felawship of Masons', later the London Masons' (ompany.

So, nearly 500 years after the Arms were first granted, the Grant lodge of debrated its 250th Anniversary with a gift of over half a million pounds to be deford to research into science and surgery, under the Royal College of Surgeon, for the beefit of all mankind. Let us hope that in 250 years from r. whe Graft - still flourishing - will mark the occasion in a similar manner - and 'prove to the world the happy and beneficial effects of our ancient and homen the Institution. At the Quarterly Communication each June a report is made of Grand Lodge on the progress made during the year by the Surgeon to the world this fund.

- 6. Q. Should the V.S.L. be placed so that it can be read by the W.M. or the Candidate?
 - A. The V.S.L. is an essential part of the Lodge when in session, and there is no specific rule as to which way it should be turned. But when it is to be used by a dandidate for the purpose of taking an obligation, it becomes in a certain sense his book. Our Lodges are required to provide for each Candidate that particular version of Holy Writ which belongs to his faith, and for the Obligation, at least, there can be no doubt that that Book should be so arranged that he can recognize and read it.
- 7. Q. When the Cruzie and Corrasses are displayed on the V.S.L., should the points of the Coup sees be trans the W.M. or towards the West.
 - A. This is one of those old vexed questions upon which the Grand Lodge has never act a ruling. Both arrangements are to be seen in different Lodges, and, of course, it is possible to develop good symbolical reasons in favour of either practice.

Perhaps the most useful guide that can be given on this question is the actual practice of the Grand Lodge when in session. There, the V.S.L. faces towards the West, and the Compass points face the west (so that the arms of the square are roughly parallel with the position of a Candidates' feet). It should be noted that Grand Lodge does not insist that this is the only correct arrangement, but where there is doubt I would suggest that their practice is the best to be followed.

- 8. Q. What is the definition and origin of the Masonic Term "Lewis", and what are his privileges, if any.
 - Lewis: "An iron contrivance for the raising of heavy blocks of stone" (0.E.D.) Three metal parts (i.e., two wedge - shaped side pieces and a straight central piece), which are set into a prepared hole in a stone. When bolted into position the metal parts form a dovetailed grip inside the stone, and a metal (ve or shackle, attached at the exposed end, enables the block to be easily hotsted. The origin of the term "Lewis" is obscure. It appears in mediaeval architectural usage as 'Lowes and Lowys, but several notable authorities have examined the possibility that our form is derived from the French pro louve (* she-wolf) which can be traced to French usage in 1611 and 1576, here t ey have the same Architectural meaning as the English word "lewis". In Speculative Masonic usage, "A Lewis is the uninitiated son of a Maso," According to (Bd. of Gen Purposes; Points of Procedure), the work has had this meaning in the Craft since 1738, if not earlier. As to the privileges, the following extract from . letter writ en by the then Grand Secretary, Bro. Sidney White, on May 21 th., 945, des ibes the situation under the Grand Lodge of England;

"A Lewis is the son of a Mason, irrape tive of by time of his birth. He has no privileges other than that he may claim seriority should there be more than one Candidate Initiated on one and the same lay."

- 9.Q. What is meant by the Length of he Cable-tov.
 - A. This is a comparitively wdern symbolical allusion to ancient operative practice by which masons were allied to altered the (Annual) assemblies if they were within a specified distance. The early st documents belonging to the Craft, i.e. the MS. Constitutions for Ancient Charges), usually contained regulations on this subject, e.g. The Regive MS. c 1390, prescribed attendance except in case of siskmess or reasonable eleuse. The Cooke Ms., c 1410, only excused attendance if in "perylle of dethe". Neither of these texts specified any particular distance, but later versions stated the number of miles within which attendance wis bligator, a.g., in two newly discovered versions of the Constitutions now at the care of the Grand Lodge Library, the earliest text, c 1625, demands attendance within seven miles, and a later one specifies fifty miles. In most cases the distances vary from five to 50 miles.

The Dumfires No.4 MS., c. 1710, has a question in the catechism:-

- Q. Hou wre you brought in.
- A. shamefully wt a rope about my neck.

Pritchard in 1730, mentioned " the length of a Cable-rope from shore...." as part of one of the penalties in his Obligation.

These are indications of the way in which the rope may have come into our ceremonies, and they probably bear quite seperate symbolical explanations, But when a candidate undertakes to attend the Lodge, if within the length of his cable-tow, he is making a simple promise to attend so long as it is in his poer to do

JW

A. The O.E.D. definition is: "One who builds dry stone walls (e.i., without mortar); a dry-stone-dyker; applied derogatorily to one who does the work of a mason, but who has not been regularly apprenticed or bred to the trade.

Cowan is an essentially Scottish trade term, and it belongs to the time when lodges, as trade-controlling bodies, put restrictions against the employment of cowans, in order to protect the fully-trained men of the Craft. The explicat official ban against cowans appeared in the Schaw Statutes in 1598:-

"Item, that no master of fellow of the craft received any cowans to work in his society or company nor send any of his servants to work with covans, under the penalty of twenty pounds, so often as any person offends he will but there are numerous records of breaches of this regulation.

The minutes of Lodge Mother Kilwinning, in 1705, indicate that, though still restricted, the employment of cowans was permissible in excain as s, depending on the supply of labour.

The Lodge agreed:-

"that no man shall employ a cowan ... if there be one mason to be found within 15 miles he is not to employ a cowan under the penalty of forty shillings, Scots."

The same regulation contains the famous l'alinition on a cowan as one "..... without the word".

In the burgh of the Cannogate (d) ing Ed'houd), cowans had a higher status, and were granted Freedom, with process to hork in stone or clay, but without lime, and although there restrictions were varied from time to time, they were enforced, and penaltic a vre levied when a cowan worked in materials beyond thos permitted them.

11. Q. Why is the Junior Marden described as the "Ostensible Steward of the Lodge"

A. The answer in ges on the fact that from about 1600 onwards, when we begin to have two Wardens in the Lodg, the J.W.'s principal duty seems to have related to the itineran masons, visitors, etc. Much later, in the 1770's when we get first details of the ctual words of the Investiture of Officers, those duties rejuding the care of visitors, etc., are allocated to the J.W. in print, and this continues into the middle decades of the 19th Century.

Stewards, responsible for the organisation of Lodge feasting and feeding are recorded in the 1720's and this suggests the possibility of confusion in the duties of Stewards and Junior Warden. Nobody seems to have worried about this until "Emulation" took the matter up - and in 1906 (that is very late in deed, but I cannot find it in earlier rituals) they intorduced the little speech in the Investiture telling the J.W. that he was (or is) the "Ostensible Steward of the Lodge" i.e. because of his duties in regard to Visitors. The Stewards are still Stewards; the J.W. is a kind of artificial Steward, in the right of his ancient duties. I believe that Emulation is the only working to use this phrase.

- 12. Q. Why two Knocks for the Tyler.
 - A. This is of recent origin, The knocks have no sinificance, with the Craft, and like other knocks are not answered by the "arge's, and the Tyler cannot come into the Lodge unless the I.G. alless him to do so. This form of summing the Tyler is unauthorised, but as it is a practice which has been used by most Lodges for a considerable time, it has become what one might say a recent inovation which has not been depricated by Grand Lodge. In my own opinion, I would not be prepared to say that it was wrong.

 It may have developed from the fact, that I knocks with a total
 - It may have developed from the fact, that I knocks with a total of three are associated with our ritual one knock on the door was always associated with an alarm, may be then this cmac into being, two was used to differentiate from the others.

som a Secretary pour of reen the impatant functions during the year an of course inmal buelling at Bham Univ. when hearly 1000 Brethen attend to see the . G.M. appoint his afficers Cathedral Service usually attended by our 2000 Brethen these families. siness are worken our - the permaneur ofrees chosen meetings of W. A. M. It groups of hodges which are the alignment of appeals Consecration 1 a new hoage when this happens. mor always the work of WMED en fran arranging all the functions, processing the reports for the Provinceal Home rehing every them on every Summay to see that the work emporus to the By C lach hodges By haws, to see to all to particulars regured for each earthabt a correctly shown, to approve changes on Byhaws to issue Despensations ohen required, to maintain a card many of every thaten from the time the moposed as a condition, though his various offices to the Chair, to his rowered Honous finally to his death & a letter to his family, to the innumerable questions about the retual, clothing, and any thing else one can what when is says "Rank" do I pur S.D or 17-14. ?) These then are some of the duties performed by the people you have just toaster I've whatever was in they give up a great deal of four time to ensure that

- A The slepshod condition is usually associated with two ancient fewer traditions - one that the condition is one of revenue + the other the emfumation of a covenant. The first is obvious - warm entering a marque ste the second RUTH IV 7.9 to storose the shoe · give it to another person was a gestive of sensely, of men entention - a confirmation of a centract between two parties - hence a loken of fractity or fearly
- When facing the second Jugue Tracing Board which Q. 13 1 the two pillars is B & which is I is
- It is not passible to state with cutainty which is to be called B + which I. Holy Dut such, some help bur even this is not clear The usual explanation to that an Architect would namally uper as of he acre in a building looking out - so when forcing the 7. B. May would be as he Right in 1725 to positions were transposed to carfun ellegal entrants and thus the It to transaction of the further the marries the english the Three
 - marchow. Vellans mentioned in the Grantinal History are Wrown. Can you tell us what they are please?
- We only know anything about these three from the expormer. The earness reference to them was in Prichards "heasony Dissected (1750) where was issued five years affecte first seconded performance of a 3° her no names were firm Toto

A (cont) Three Distinct Knocks of Jachen Boag (1762) bolk per thus names as JUBILA JUBILO + JUBILUM - this is repealed by Browne in 1802. Some outherties say that their names are not recorded because King Soloman was determined that there han is should never more be pronounced.

What is the origin of the three knocks by the canadate on the Warden's right shoulder &

Fust you must races that in the east ways of Fremasury the brethen were seater award a table + the candidate peramburate behind them so that some means of attracting the countries of the Waraus was mansary.

However the huper actually arose from the necessity to impers upon the considate that we each case he is the Warden is in sporter " until he proves himsely properly good fra by being proved "free of good report Thus he is reprosed to be passing through one door after BOOMICINE CHAND SECRETARY

Tel: WORCESTER 22083

WF3 8L" WORCESTER HAILBOW HILL MASONIC HALL **W.В**во. Снавлея Ревкя,

PROVINCIAL GRAND MASTER R.W. Bro. F. H. CRIFFITHS, O.ST.J.

Prodincial Grand Lodge of Worcestershire

What aves a frevenual Grand Sceretary actually do?

Four men book me wis

the Lodge, one Walking before, and one on each Side, and my Friend in the Rear. Thus was I brought out of Darkness into Light.

Q. What did they do after this?

A. They informed the Master, they were ready to execute his Orders.

Q. What did he order?

A. He ordered them to ftrip me naked.

Q. Did they strip you naked?

A. Yes.

Q. What was the Reason they stripped you?

A. In order that all the Lodge might be well affured, they were not imposed on by a Woman.

Q. What Reason have they for not admitting Wo-

men into this Mystery?

A. Because it is well known that Women in general cannot keep their own Secrets, much less those they are entrusted with.

Q. What Proof have you of this?

- A. We have many Proofs of this, both in facred and profane History; but as one may ferre, the Story of Sampsen and Dalilab will be sufficient: This Man had no sooner revealed the Secret, wherein his great Strength lay, to his dearly beloved Mistress, than she discovered it, and betray'd him to the Phintines, Judges xvi. for which Reason. Women at thought not proper to be trusted with the Secrets of Maionry, and Sampsen was never after that numbered among Free-Masons.
- Q. Suppose a Pother should prove so weak, as to betray the Secrets to his Wife or any Body else, what is his Junitament?
- A. If it should ever be known, he would be immediately expelled the Lodge to which he belonged, and never admitted to visit any other Lodge whatever.

Q. II

(13)

Q. If a Woman should rashly swear, that she would never cohabit with her Husband, unless he told her the Secrets, would that excuse him?

A. No, by no mean, because he may as easily perswade her that there is nothing more in it, than a Set of Friends well met and assembled to be merry, or tell her any Take that is plausible.

Q. Proceed now to tell me what they did with you

after you was teripped i

A. The Mafter cloa hed me with the * Badge of Innocence.

Q. What did he do after that?

A. He took me by the Right-hand, and placed me in the Center of the Brethren; he then ordered me to kneel down on both my Knees, and held the Point of a Sword which he had in his Hand to my Throat; and then he addressed me as follows:

" SIR,

You are now going to be admitted a Member of this antient and honourable Fraternity, and it is expected that you will lay yourfelf under the following Obligation.

You shall not reveal to any Person or Persons, either by Word of Mouth, or your own Hand-writing, or cause to be revealed in any Manner whatever, any Part or Parts, Point or Points, or any Traditions, which have been, are now, or shall hereafter be held as a Secret among Free-Masons, unless to an + honest Man, whom you know is a Mason, or to the Master or Wardens of any regular Lodge.

And

^{*} The Word Free, was added, because they taught their Art to the Free born only.

This is a loose white Garment, generally made of Holland, or some other fine Linnen, and sometimes of Silk. It shews that when a Man is made a Mason, he is believed to be a Man of good Morals, and unfully d Character, or else he cannot be made.

[†] If a Mason proves otherwise, no Mason dare farther instruct him, on pain of being expelled all Lodges.